| Syllabus for SUN<br>2002 course | LANGUAGE, GENDER AND SOCIETY |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|

## Week 1

| Session |                                       |  |
|---------|---------------------------------------|--|
| no.     |                                       |  |
| 1       | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'<br>Names    | Prof. Louise Vasvari   |
|         | Topic/Title                           | INTRODUCTION   |
|         | Teaching Mode                         | LECTURE (80%) & GROUP DISCISSION (20%)   |
|         | Rationale/ Objectives/                | The Linguistics and the Anthropology of the  |
|         | Summary                               | Sex/Gender Binary  |
|         | Reading and/or writing                | Readings: Hurd, 2000, "Gender's Nature" pp.  |
|         | Assignments                           | 347-364.   |
|         |                                       | Pauwells, 1998, "Sexism in Language." pp. 16-80.   |
|         |                                       |  |
| 2       | Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names       | Prof. Louise Vasvari   |
|         | Topic/Title                           | HISTORICAL BACKGROUND  |
|         | Teaching Mode                         | LECTURE (80%) & GROUP DISCISSION (20%)   |
|         | Rationale/ Objectives/                | How do received folklinguistic ideas about women's   |
|         | Summary                               | language hold up to empirical scrutiny?  |
|         | Reading and/or writing Assignments    | Jesperson, 1929, "The Woman," pp. 225-241.<br>Lakoff, 1978, "Language & Women's Place,"<br>pp. 242-252.<br>Tannen, 1998, "Relativity of Linguistic Strategies."<br>Cameron, 1998, "Gender, Language, & Discourse." |
|         |                                       | Written Assignment on Lakoff & Parker, "The Waltz"   |
| 3       | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'<br>Names    | Prof. Louise Vasvari   |
|         | Topic/Title                           | HISTORICAL BACKGROUND  |
|         | Teaching Mode                         | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)  |
|         | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary     | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)  |
|         | Reading and/or writing<br>Assignments | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)  |

| 4 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'  |  |
|---|--|--|
| 4 | Names  | Prof. Itesh Sachdev  |
|   | Topic/Title  | WOMEN AND MEN AS SOCIAL GROUPS   |
|   | Teaching Mode  | Lecture (50%) and Group Discussion (50%)   |
|   | Rationale/ Objectives/   | To consider the degree to which women and mer  |
|   | Summary  | function as social groups  |
|   | Reading and/or writing   | Jennifer Coates, Language and Gender, ch.  |
|   | Assignments  | 32, p.495-510.   |
|   |  | Jennifer Coates, Language and Gender, ch   |
|   |  | 20-23, p. 299-372.   |
|   |  | Janet M. Bing & Victoria L Bergvall, 'The Question of Questions: Beyond Binary   |
|   |  | Thinking' p.495-510.   |
|   |  |  |
|   |  | Bing and Bergvall argue that gender has been   |
|   |  | treated as a non-linguistic variable that is divided   |
|   |  | into two sub-categories. To what degree is this  |
|   |  | true and/or justified? Think about this with   |
|   |  | reference to the languages and cultures that you   |
|   |  | are familiar with. Another way in which to think   |
|   |  | of this is to consider whether women and men   |
|   |  | can be considered social groups that are similar   |
|   |  | to class and ethnic groups. Think about this with reference to the languages and cultures that you   |
|   |  | are familiar with. The specific issue to consider i  |
|   |  | whether theoretical models in intergroup relation  |
|   |  | (that are usually applied to ethnic and class  |
|   |  | groups) are applicable to relations between  |
|   |  | women and men. We will consider this issue and   |
|   |  | also refer to the language data obtained in  |
|   |  | chapters 20-23 in the Language and Gender  |
|   |  | Reader by Coates.  |
| 5 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'  |  |
| 3 | Names  | Prof. Itesh Sachdev  |
|   | Topic/Title  | WOMEN AND MEN AS SOCIAL GROUPS   |
|   | Teaching Mode  | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)  |
|   | Rationale/ Objectives/   |  |
|   | Summary  | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)  |
|   | Reading and/or writing   | CONTRACTOR (CANAL AC ADOL(5)   |
|   | Assignments  | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)  |
| - | Tanaharia Nama / Tanaharai   |  |
| 6 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names  | Prof. Louise Vasvari   |
|   | Topic/Title  |  |
|   |  | FUNCTIONS OF LINGUISTIC GENDER   |
|   | Teaching Mode  | Lecture and Student Reports on Linguistic  |
|   |  | Lecture and Student Reports on Linguistic  |
|   | Teaching Mode  | Lecture and Student Reports on Linguistic<br>Function of Gender in their Native Languages (50<br>% -50 %)  |
|   |  | Lecture and Student Reports on Linguistic Function of Gender in their Native Languages (59 % -50 %) How does linguistic gender relate to the   |
|   | Teaching Mode  | Lecture and Student Reports on Linguistic Function of Gender in their Native Languages (5 % -50 %)  How does linguistic gender relate to the sex/gender binary, or does it?" Generic Masculin  |
|   | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary  | Lecture and Student Reports on Linguistic Function of Gender in their Native Languages (56 % -50 %) How does linguistic gender relate to the sex/gender binary, or does it?" Generic Masculin as a tool of sexism.   |
|   | Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing   | Lecture and Student Reports on Linguistic Function of Gender in their Native Languages (59 % -50 %) How does linguistic gender relate to the sex/gender binary, or does it?" Generic Masculin as a tool of sexism.  Trudgill. 1999. "Functions of Linguistic   |
|   | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary  | Lecture and Student Reports on Linguistic Function of Gender in their Native Languages (59%-50%) How does linguistic gender relate to the sex/gender binary, or does it?" Generic Masculin as a tool of sexism.  Trudgill. 1999. "Functions of Linguistic Gender." pp.133-152  |
|   | Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments   | Lecture and Student Reports on Linguistic Function of Gender in their Native Languages (59 % -50 %) How does linguistic gender relate to the sex/gender binary, or does it?" Generic Masculin as a tool of sexism.  Trudgill. 1999. "Functions of Linguistic   |
| 7 | Teaching Mode  Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers'                   | Lecture and Student Reports on Linguistic Function of Gender in their Native Languages (56% -50%) How does linguistic gender relate to the sex/gender binary, or does it?" Generic Masculin as a tool of sexism.  Trudgill. 1999. "Functions of Linguistic Gender." pp.133-152   |
| 7 | Teaching Mode  Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names             | Lecture and Student Reports on Linguistic Function of Gender in their Native Languages (59%-50%) How does linguistic gender relate to the sex/gender binary, or does it?" Generic Masculin as a tool of sexism.  Trudgill. 1999. "Functions of Linguistic Gender." pp.133-152 Bodine, 1998. " Androcentrism " pp. 124-140.  Prof. Louise Vasvari                           |
| 7 | Teaching Mode  Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title | Lecture and Student Reports on Linguistic Function of Gender in their Native Languages (50% -50%) How does linguistic gender relate to the sex/gender binary, or does it?" Generic Masculin as a tool of sexism.  Trudgill. 1999. "Functions of Linguistic Gender." pp.133-152 Bodine, 1998. " Androcentrism " pp. 124-140.  Prof. Louise Vasvari FEMINIST LANGUAGE REFORM |
| 7 | Teaching Mode  Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names             | Lecture and Student Reports on Linguistic Function of Gender in their Native Languages (50% -50%) How does linguistic gender relate to the sex/gender binary, or does it?" Generic Masculin as a tool of sexism.  Trudgill. 1999. "Functions of Linguistic Gender." pp.133-152 Bodine, 1998. " Androcentrism " pp. 124-140.  Prof. Louise Vasvari                          |

|    | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary  | Is feminist language planning politically and culturally viable?   |
|----|------------------------------------|--|
|    | Reading and/or writing             | Talbot, 1996, "Reclaiming the Language"  |
|    | Assignments                        | pp. 190-240  |
|    | Assignments                        | Ehrlich and King. 1998. "Gender-Based Language   |
|    |                                    | Reform"  |
|    |                                    | INCIOIIII  |
| 8  | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'          |  |
| 0  | Names                              | Prof. Itesh Sachdev  |
|    | Topic/Title                        | PERSUADING WOMEN AND MEN TO USE NON-   |
|    |                                    | SEXIST LANGUAGE  |
|    | Teaching Mode                      | Lecture & Group work and discussion (50 % -50  |
|    |                                    | %)   |
|    | Rationale/ Objectives/             | Towards sexist language reform   |
|    | Summary                            |  |
|    | Reading and/or writing Assignments | Anne Pauwels, Women Changing Language (Longman, 1998, p.16-80): chapter 2, 'Sexism in language: an international phenomenon?' Deborah Cameron (ed), The Feminist Critique of Language (Routledge, 1998, p.164-179), chapter 13, Ehrlich and King, 'Gender based language reform and the social construction of meaning'.   |
|    |                                    | Whereas researchers such as Deborah Cameron focus on non-sexist language planning, an issue that interests social psychologists is the extent to which speakers can be persuaded/induced to accept change in terms of the use of non-sexist language. In the communities that you are familiar with, will women or men be more persuasive in inducing language reform? Additionally, will women or men be more persuaded to change their language use? |
|    |                                    |  |
| 9  | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'          | Prof. Itesh Sachdev  |
|    | Names                              | DEDCHARTING WOMEN AND MEN TO LICE NON  |
|    | Topic/Title                        | PERSUADING WOMEN AND MEN TO USE NON-   |
|    | Tanahina Mada                      | SEXIST LANGUAGE  |
|    | Rationale/ Objectives/             | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)  |
|    | Summary                            | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)  |
|    | Reading and/or writing             |  |
|    | Assignments                        | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)  |
|    | 1                                  | <u> </u>   |
| 10 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'          |  |
|    | Names                              | Prof. Itesh Sachdev  |
|    | Topic/Title                        | CONSULTATION   |
|    | Teaching Mode                      | TUTORIAL AND/OR INDIVIDUAL PROJECTS  |
|    | Rationale/ Objectives/             | INDIVIDUALIZATION OF THE COVERED   |
|    | Summary                            | MATERIAL AS WELL AS ASSISTANCE WITH  |
|    | ,                                  | INDIVIDUAL PROJECTS AND/OR CLASS   |
|    |                                    | PRESENTATION PREPARATIONS  |
|    | Reading and/or writing             |  |
|    | Assignments                        | N/A  |
|    |                                    |  |
| 11 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'          | Doct Thort Cook to   |
|    | Names                              | Prof. Itesh Sachdev  |
|    | Topic/Title                        | QUANTITATIVE RESEARCH METHODS AND  |
|    |                                    | STATISTICS TO STUDY LANGUAGE AND GENDER  |
|    | Teaching Mode                      | WORKSHOP   |
| L  |                                    | 1  |

|    | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary     | This session will be a workshop (in the computer workstation room) to introduce research methods and statistical concepts (using the statistical package SPSS 10). Given time, we will also analyse some sample data.   |
|----|---------------------------------------|---|
|    | Reading and/or writing Assignments    | What are the different ways for investigating a research question? What are the advantages and disadvantages of different research methods? How do we measure variables? How do we describe the findings of our research? What inferences can we draw about the population from the findings based on our research samples? |
| 12 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'             | Prof. Itesh Sachdev   |
|    | Topic/Title                           | QUANTITATIVE RESEARCH METHODS AND STATISTICS TO STUDY LANGUAGE AND GENDER   |
|    | Teaching Mode                         | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)   |
|    | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary     | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)   |
|    | Reading and/or writing<br>Assignments | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)   |
|    |                                       |   |
| 13 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names       | Prof. Itesh Sachdev   |
|    | Topic/Title                           | QUANTITATIVE RESEARCH METHODS AND STATISTICS TO STUDY LANGUAGE AND GENDER   |
|    | Teaching Mode                         | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)   |
|    | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary     | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)   |
|    | Reading and/or writing Assignments    | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)   |
|    |                                       |   |
| 14 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'<br>Names    | Prof. Itesh Sachdev   |
|    | Topic/Title                           | QUANTITATIVE RESEARCH METHODS AND STATISTICS TO STUDY LANGUAGE AND GENDER   |
|    | Teaching Mode                         | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)   |
|    | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary     | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)   |
|    | Reading and/or writing<br>Assignments | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)   |
|    |                                       |   |
| 15 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names       | Prof. Itesh Sachdev   |
|    | Topic/Title                           | CONSULTATION  |
|    | Teaching Mode                         | TUTORIAL AND/OR INDIVIDUAL PROJECTS   |
|    | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary     | INDIVIDUALIZATION OF THE COVERED MATERIAL AS WELL AS ASSISTANCE WITH INDIVIDUAL PROJECTS AND/OR CLASS PRESENTATION PREPARATIONS   |
|    | Reading and/or writing<br>Assignments | N/A   |
|    |                                       |   |
| 16 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'<br>Names    | Prof. Don Kulick  |
|    | Topic/Title                           | THE THEORETICAL BACKGROUND TO PERFORMATIVITY THEORY   |
|    | Teaching Mode                         | LECTURE AND GROUP DISCUSSION (50 % -50 %)   |
|    | 1                                     | I ·-/   |

|    | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary  | The most refreshing development in Language and Gender research during the past decade has been the introduction of performativity theory into discussions about how language and gender intertwine. Performativity is a concept that in a very short time has gone from the relative obscurity of arcane philosophical discussions about language to a buzzword that increasingly appears in all manner of social science and humanist studies. This part of the course will give a firm grounding in performative theory. It traces the theoretical roots of performativity and examines various dimensions of how it the concept has been developed and employed, especially by Judith Butler.   |
|----|------------------------------------|---|
|    | Reading and/or writing Assignments | Saussure, Ferdinand de 1992. Course in General linguistics, translated by Roy Harris. La Salle, Illinois: Open Court Classics. Chapters 3, 4, 5 (Pp. 8-23) & Introduction: Chapters 1& 2 (Pp. 65-78).  Austin, J.L. 1997. How to do things with words. Lectures 1-3 (p. 1-38).  Derrida, Jacques 1991. Signature Event Context. In A Derrida reader: behind the blinds, edited by Peggy Kamuf. New York: Columbia University Press. [Read the entire article, but pay special attention to everything after the rubric "The parasites. Iter, of Writing: That Perhaps It Does Not Exist"]  Foucault, Michel 1981. The history of sexuality Volume 1. Middlesex, England: Penguin. Part Four: Chapter Two ("Method").  Kessler, Susanne & Wendy McKenna. 1985 [1978]. Gender: an ethnomethodological approach. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. Chapters 1, 3, 5.  Kulick, Don 2002. 'No'. Plenary lecture delivered at Sociolinguistics Symposium 14, Gent, Belgium, April 4-6 2002.  1. What role does the notion of 'difference' play in Saussure's understanding of language?  2. What types of speech acts does Austin explicitly exclude from consideration in his theory? Why does he exclude them?  3. What is Derrida's criticism of Austin? Why does he end the article with a signature?  4. How does Foucault's understanding of power differ from common-sense understandings?  5. What is an ethnomethodological approach to gender?  6. Summarize Kulick's argument about the difference between 'performance' and 'performativity'. |
| 17 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'          |   |
|    | Names Topic/Title                  | Prof. Don Kulick  THE THEORETICAL BACKGROUND TO PERFORMATIVITY  |
|    | -                                  | THEORY  |
|    | Teaching Mode                      | LECTURE AND GROUP DISCUSSION (50 % -50 %)   |

|    | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary     | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)   |
|----|---------------------------------------|---|
|    | Reading and/or writing Assignments    | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)   |
|    |                                       |   |
| 18 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'<br>Names    | Prof. Don Kulick  |
|    | Topic/Title                           | THE THEORETICAL BACKGROUND TO PERFORMATIVITY THEORY   |
|    | Teaching Mode                         | LECTURE AND GROUP DISCUSSION (50 % -50 %)   |
|    | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary     | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)   |
|    | Reading and/or writing<br>Assignments | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)   |
|    |                                       |   |
| 19 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names       | Erika Solyom  |
|    | Topic/Title                           | WOMEN'S LANGUAGE OR POWERLESS LANGUAGE?   |
|    | Teaching Mode                         | LECTURE AND GROUP DISCUSSION (50 % -50 %)   |
|    | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary     | TO PROVIDE THE STUDENTS WITH DIFFERENT MODELS FOR THE STUDY ON LANGUAGE AND GENDER.   |
|    | Reading and/or writing Assignments    | Cameron, D. (1998) <i>The Feminist Critique of Language: A Reader.</i> Chapters 17, 18 and 19 Public Hears lewinsky Story(NY Times article 02/07/99) Coates, J. (1998) <i>Language and Gender: A Reader.</i> Chapter 24   |
|    |                                       | What are the linguistic features typical of women's language according to Lakoff? What methodological and linguistic problems arise from the Lakoff study? Can you detect any Lakoff features in the 1999 NY Times Lewinsly article? If so, what are they? What are the problematic issues related to tag questions, especially in terms of form and function? What is O'Barr & Atkins's major criticism on Lakoff? What is your major criticism on Lakoff? Do you think interruption is a sign of dominance? |
| 20 | Too should Norse / Too should         | T   |
| 20 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names       | Erika Solyom  |
|    | Topic/Title                           | LANGUAGE AND GENDER IN MULTILINGUAL SETTINGS  |
|    | Teaching Mode                         | LECTURE AND GROUP DISCUSSION (50 % -50 %)   |
|    | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary     | IN ADDITION TO THE RESEARCH CONDUCTED IN THE USA AND WEST EUROPEAN COUNTRIES, TO PROVIDE THE STUDENTS WITH CASE STUDIES OF LANGUAGE AND GENDER FROM OTHER REGIONS SUCH AS EAST-CENTRAL EUROPE, ASIA AND AFRICA.   |

|    | Reading and/or writing<br>Assignments | Coates, J. (1998) Language and Gender: A Reader. Chapter 10 Coates, J. (1998) Language and Gender: A Reader. Chapter 25 Japan's feminine Falsetto(NY Times article, 12/13/95) Sadiqi, F. Moroccon Women and Linguistic Space (manusript)  |
|----|---------------------------------------|---|
|    |                                       | (i) Based on the Gal article, what do Hungarian and German represent in the Oberwart community? What do the language choices of young women depend on in that community? (ii) Provided the business partners use the same language – can meetings between Japanese and American Businessmen go wrong? Discuss the relativity of linguistic strategies after having read the article on Japanese. (iii) What are the three correlations between gender and the different languages of Moroccan society?  |
|    |                                       |   |
| 21 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'<br>Names    | Prof. Don Kulick  |
|    | Topic/Title                           | Performativity theory and reactions/responses to it   |
|    | Teaching Mode                         | LECTURE AND GROUP DISCUSSION (50 % -50 %) FILM TO BE SHOWN IN CLASS [Paris is Burning]  |
|    | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary     | The most refreshing development in Language and Gender research during the past decade has been the introduction of performativity theory into discussions about how language and gender intertwine. Performativity is a concept that in a very short time has gone from the relative obscurity of arcane philosophical discussions about language to a buzzword that increasingly appears in all manner of social science and humanist studies. This part of the course will give a firm grounding in performative theory. It traces the theoretical roots of performativity and examines various dimensions of how it the concept has been developed and employed, especially by Judith Butler. |

| Reading and/or writing Assignments    | Butler, Judith 1990. Gender Trouble:     feminism and the subversion of     identity. London & New York:     Routledge. vii-34 + 79-149.  Weston, Kath 1993. Do clothes make the     woman? gender, performance theory,     and lesbian eroticism. Genders 17:1-     21.  Althusser, Louis. 1971. Ideology and     ideological state apparatuses. In Lenin     and philosophy, by Louis Althusser.     New York and London: Monthly Review     Press. 170-186.  Butler, Judith 1993. Bodies that matter: on     the discursive limits of "sex". xi-23;     Chapter 4 [Chapter 4 may be read after     the class, after the film has been     shown]  1. According to Butler, what is the relationship     between sex and gender? 2. What is the basis of Weston's     misunderstanding of Butler's idea of     'performativity'. Why does she get it so     wrong? How does Butler respond to such     misunderstandings? 3. What is an 'interpellation'? How does     interpellation work? Why does Althusser     illustrate the concept with a call by a     policeman? 4. Althusser asserts "1) there is no practice     except by and in an ideology; 2) there is no     ideology except by the subject and for     subjects." (1971: 159) What does this     mean? |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Teacher's Name/ Teachers'             | Prof. Don Kulick   |
| Names Tonic/Title                     | Performativity theory and reactions/responses to   |
| -                                     | it   |
| _                                     | LECTURE AND GROUP DISCUSSION (50 % -50 %)  |
| Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary     | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)  |
| Reading and/or writing<br>Assignments | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)  |
|                                       |  |
| Names                                 | Prof. Don Kulick   |
| Topic/Title                           | Performativity theory and reactions/responses to it  |
| Teaching Mode                         | LECTURE AND GROUP DISCUSSION (50 % -50 %)  |
| Rationale/ Objectives/                | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)  |
| Reading and/or writing<br>Assignments | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)  |
| Teacher's Name/ Teachers'             | 511.01   |
| Names                                 | Erika Solyom   |
| Topic/Title                           | ORALITY VS LITERACY: PRIVATE DISCOURSE IN PUBLIC?  |
|                                       | Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names  |

|    | Teaching Mode             | FIELD TRIP                                |
|----|---------------------------|---|
|    |                           |   |
|    | Rationale/ Objectives/    | TO PROVIDE STUDENTS WITH A FIRST-HAND     |
|    | Summary                   | EXPERIENCE OF THE TOPICS DISCUSSED IN THE |
|    | •                         | CLASSROOM REGARDING THE ISSUES OF         |
|    |                           | PRIVATE AND PUBLIC DISCOURSE.             |
|    |                           | PRIVATE AND PUBLIC DISCOURSE.             |
|    | Reading and/or writing    | N/A                                       |
|    | Assignments               | N/A                                       |
|    |                           |   |
| 25 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers' | Filler Colores                            |
|    | Names                     | Erika Solyom                              |
|    | Topic/Title               | ORALITY VS LITERACY: PRIVATE DISCOURSE IN |
|    | 10010/1100                | PUBLIC?                                   |
|    | To a state of March       |   |
|    | Teaching Mode             | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)                 |
|    | Rationale/ Objectives/    | CONTINUED (CAME AC ADOVE)                 |
|    | Summary                   | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)                 |
|    | Reading and/or writing    |   |
|    | Assignments               | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)                 |
|    | Assignments               |   |
|    |                           |   |

## Week 2

| Session |                                       |   |
|---------|---------------------------------------|---|
| no.     |                                       |   |
| 1       | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'<br>Names    | Prof. Don Kulick  |
|         | Topic/Title                           | THE POLITICS OF THE PERFORMATIVE  |
|         | Teaching Mode                         | LECTURE AND GROUP DISCUSSION (50 % -50 %)   |
|         | Rationale/ Objectives/                | The most refreshing development in Language and Gender research   |
|         | Summary                               | during the past decade has been the introduction of performativity theory into discussions about how language and gender intertwine. Performativity is a concept that in a very short time has gone from the relative obscurity of arcane philosophical discussions about language to a buzzword that increasingly appears in all manner of social science and humanist studies. This part of the course will give a firm grounding in performative theory. It traces the theoretical roots of performativity and examines various dimensions of how it the concept has been developed and employed, especially by Judith Butler. |
|         | Reading and/or writing Assignments    | <ul> <li>Matsuda, Mari J., Charles R. Lawrence III, Richard Delgado, &amp; Kimberlé Williams Crenshaw. 1993. Words that wound: critical race theory, assaultive speech, and the first amendment. Boulder, Colorado: Westview Press. Introduction, + Chapters 2 &amp; 3.</li> <li>MacKinnon, Catharine. 1993. Only words. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.</li> <li>Austin, J.L. 1997. How to do things with words. Lecture IX, first page of Lecture X (109-121).</li> <li>Butler, Judith. 1997. Excitable Speech: a politics of the performative. London &amp; New York: Routledge. Chapter 2.</li> </ul>     |
|         |                                       | <ol> <li>How do the authors of Words that wound and Only words define 'hate speech'?</li> <li>Why do those authors believe that the way to combat hate speech is to have the state prohibit it?</li> <li>What is the difference between 'illocution' and 'perlocution'? How is this difference important for Butler?</li> <li>The subtitle of Butler's book Excitable Speech is "a politics of the performative". What is a politics of the performative? How do Butler's conclusions here relate back to her conclusion in Gender Trouble?</li> </ol>  |
|         | -                                     |   |
| 2       | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'<br>Names    | Prof. Don Kulick  |
|         | Topic/Title                           | THE POLITICS OF THE PERFORMATIVE  |
|         | Teaching Mode                         | LECTURE AND GROUP DISCUSSION (50 % -50 %)   |
|         | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary     | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)   |
|         | Reading and/or writing<br>Assignments | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)   |
|         | 1                                     |   |
| 3       | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'<br>Names    | Prof. Don Kulick  |
|         | Topic/Title                           | THE POLITICS OF THE PERFORMATIVE  |
|         | Teaching Mode                         | LECTURE AND GROUP DISCUSSION (50 % -50 %)   |
|         | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary     | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)   |
|         | Reading and/or writing<br>Assignments | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)   |
| 4       | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'<br>Names    | Prof. Don Kulick  |
|         | Topic/Title                           | CONSULTATION  |
|         | Teaching Mode                         | TUTORIAL AND/OR INDIVIDUAL PROJECTS   |
|         | reacining Mode                        | 10 LOUTHE MINDLOK TINDTATORIE LECTO   |

|   | 1                              |  |
|---|--------------------------------|--|
|   | Rationale/ Objectives/         | INDIVIDUALIZATION OF THE COVERED MATERIAL AS WELL AS                         |
|   | Summary                        | ASSISTANCE WITH INDIVIDUAL PROJECTS AND/OR CLASS                             |
|   | _                              | PRESENTATION PREPARATIONS  |
|   | Reading and/or writing         | AL/A   |
|   | Assignments                    | N/A  |
|   | , <b>3</b>                     |  |
| 5 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'      |  |
| • | Names                          | Prof. Louise Vasvari   |
|   | Topic/Title                    | LIFE WRITING   |
|   | Teaching Mode                  | Lecture (80%) and Group Discussion (20%)                                     |
|   | Rationale/ Objectives/         | How have feminist academic memoirs, gay coming out stories, and              |
|   | Summary                        | other transgressive variants on the autobiography altered the                |
|   | Summary                        | discursivity of life writing?  |
|   | Reading and/or writing         | Silberstein, 1988, "Gender Ideology and Courtship Narrative"                 |
|   |                                |  |
|   | Assignments                    | pp. 125-49  Floirchman 1009 "Condor Voice of Scholarchin" no 075 1016        |
|   |                                | Fleischman, 1998, "Gender Voice of Scholarship" pp.975-1016                  |
|   |                                |  |
|   | To a should Name / To a should | T  |
| 6 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'      | Prof. Louise Vasvari   |
|   | Names                          | CHEEDCDEAN   |
|   | Topic/Title                    | QUEERSPEAK   |
|   | Teaching Mode                  | Lecture (80%) & Group Discussion (20%)                                       |
|   | Rationale/ Objectives/         | What is gay and lesbian language, or, does it exist?                         |
|   | Summary                        |  |
|   | Reading and/or writing         | Leap. 1993. "Gay Men's English" pp. 45-70                                    |
|   | Assignments                    | Kulick, 2000, "Gay and Lesbian Language" pp. 243-285.                        |
|   |                                | Leap 1999. "LanguageGay Adolescence"   |
|   |                                | Barrett. 1999. "African American Drag Queen"                                 |
|   |                                |  |
| 7 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'      | Prof. Louise Vasvari   |
|   | Names                          |  |
|   | Topic/Title                    | QUEERSPEAK   |
|   | Teaching Mode                  | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)  |
|   | Rationale/ Objectives/         | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)  |
|   | Summary                        |  |
|   | Reading and/or writing         | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)  |
|   | Assignments                    |  |
|   | T=                             | 1  |
| 8 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'      | Erika Solyom   |
|   | Names                          | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |
|   | Topic/Title                    | ANTHROPOLOGICAL APPROACHES TO LANGUAGE AND GENDER                            |
|   | Teaching Mode                  | GROUP DISCUSSION   |
|   | Rationale/ Objectives/         | TO PROVIDE THE STUDENTS WITH ANTROPOLOGICAL APPROACHES                       |
|   | Summary                        | TO GENDER IN ADDITION TO THE LINGUISTIC FRAMEWORK.                           |
|   | Reading and/or writing         | Kulick, D. (1998) "Anger, gender, language shift and the                     |
|   | Assignments                    | politics of revelation in a Papua New Guinean village." In                   |
|   |                                | Language Ideologies, B. Schieffelin (ed.) New York: Oxford                   |
|   |                                | University Press   |
|   |                                |  |
|   |                                | Based on the article, what is the cause of shift in language? Define the     |
|   |                                | following terms: <i>hed, save, kros.</i> How are swearwords looked at in you |
|   |                                | culture? What is your take on women's use of swearwords in the light         |
|   |                                | of the Kulick article? Are language ideologies solely about language?        |
|   |                                |  |
| 9 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'      | Erika Solyom   |
|   | Names                          | ·  |
|   | Topic/Title                    | CONSULTATION   |
|   | Teaching Mode                  | TUTORIAL AND/OR INDIVIDUAL PROJECTS  |
| _ | Rationale/ Objectives/         | INDIVIDUALIZATION OF THE COVERED MATERIAL AS WELL AS                         |
|   | Summary                        | ASSISTANCE WITH INDIVIDUAL PROJECTS AND/OR CLASS                             |
|   |                                | PRESENTATION PREPARATIONS  |
|   |                                |  |

|    | Donding and for writing   |  |
|----|---|--|
|    | Reading and/or writing<br>Assignments   | N/A  |
|    | Assignments   |  |
| 10 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'   |  |
|    | Names   | Prof. Louise Vasvari   |
|    | Topic/Title   | FOLKLORIC AND LITERARY APPROACHES TO GENDER  |
|    | Teaching Mode   | Group Discussion of previously read articles   |
|    | Rationale/ Objectives/  | Gynocriticism: the representation of women in literature and folklore;   |
|    | Summary   | the retrieval of women's voices in literature and folklore   |
|    | Reading and/or writing<br>Assignments   | Vasvari 1999, "Erotic Wedding Motif" pp. 1-9   |
|    | Assignments   | Vasvari 2001, "Retrieving the Power of Women's Voices" pp. 1-20  |
|    |   | Vasvari 2002 " The Taming of the Shrew" pp. 122-139  |
|    |   | rusturi 2002 - mo rummig or uncommon in pp. 222 - 200  |
| 11 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'   | Duef Lavies Venuevi  |
|    | Names   | Prof. Louise Vasvari   |
|    | Topic/Title   | FOLKLORIC AND LITERARY APPROACHES TO GENDER  |
|    | Teaching Mode   | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)  |
|    | Rationale/ Objectives/  | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)  |
|    | Summary   | (0)  |
|    | Reading and/or writing  | CONTINUED (SAME AS ABOVE)  |
|    | Assignments   |  |
| 12 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'   |  |
| 12 | Names   | Prof. Juliet Langman   |
|    |   | Historical Overview of Models of Gender Socialization and Gender   |
|    | Topic/Title   | nistorical Overview of Models of Gerider Socialization and Gerider   |
|    | •   | Identity   |
|    | Topic/Title  Teaching Mode  |  |
|    | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/  | Identity Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %) To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and  |
|    | Teaching Mode   | Identity Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %) To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to   |
|    | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  | Identity  Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.   |
|    | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing  | Identity Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %) To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female   |
|    | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  | Identity Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %) To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female groups. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader,  |
|    | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing  | Identity Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %) To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female   |
| 13 | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing  | Identity Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %) To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female groups. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 16)   |
| 13 | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names   | Identity Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %) To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female groups. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 16)  Prof. Juliet Langman   |
| 13 | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers'   | Identity  Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female groups. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 16)  Prof. Juliet Langman  Gender socialization and Gender Identity: The Community of Practice  |
| 13 | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title   | Identity  Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female groups. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 16)  Prof. Juliet Langman  Gender socialization and Gender Identity: The Community of Practice Model  |
| 13 | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title  Teaching Mode  | Identity  Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female groups. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 16)  Prof. Juliet Langman  Gender socialization and Gender Identity: The Community of Practice Model  Lecture, discussion (50 % -50 %)  |
| 13 | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title  Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/   | Identity  Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female groups. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 16)  Prof. Juliet Langman  Gender socialization and Gender Identity: The Community of Practice Model  Lecture, discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts of the community of practice (CofP)  |
| 13 | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title  Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary   | Identity  Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female groups. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 16)  Prof. Juliet Langman  Gender socialization and Gender Identity: The Community of Practice Model  Lecture, discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts of the community of practice (CofP) model.   |
| 13 | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title  Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary Reading and/or writing  | Identity Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %) To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female groups. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 16)  Prof. Juliet Langman  Gender socialization and Gender Identity: The Community of Practice Model Lecture, discussion (50 % -50 %) To understand the basic concepts of the community of practice (CofP) model.  Eckert, Penelope and Sally McConnell-Ginet Communities of  |
| 13 | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title  Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary   | Identity  Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female groups. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 16)  Prof. Juliet Langman  Gender socialization and Gender Identity: The Community of Practice Model  Lecture, discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts of the community of practice (CofP) model.  Eckert, Penelope and Sally McConnell-Ginet Communities of Practice: Where Gender, Language and Power All Live. In J.   |
| 13 | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title  Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary Reading and/or writing  | Identity  Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female groups. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 16)  Prof. Juliet Langman  Gender socialization and Gender Identity: The Community of Practice Model  Lecture, discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts of the community of practice (CofP) model.  Eckert, Penelope and Sally McConnell-Ginet Communities of Practice: Where Gender, Language and Power All Live. In J.   |
| 13 | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title  Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary Reading and/or writing  | Identity  Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female groups. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 16)  Prof. Juliet Langman  Gender socialization and Gender Identity: The Community of Practice Model  Lecture, discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts of the community of practice (CofP) model.  Eckert, Penelope and Sally McConnell-Ginet Communities of Practice: Where Gender, Language and Power All Live. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch   |
| 13 | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title  Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary Reading and/or writing  | Identity Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %) To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female groups. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 16)  Prof. Juliet Langman  Gender socialization and Gender Identity: The Community of Practice Model  Lecture, discussion (50 % -50 %) To understand the basic concepts of the community of practice (CofP) model.  Eckert, Penelope and Sally McConnell-Ginet Communities of Practice: Where Gender, Language and Power All Live. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 31)  Holmes, Janet and Miriam Meyerhoff. 1999. The Community of  |
| 13 | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title  Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary Reading and/or writing  | Identity  Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female groups. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 16)  Prof. Juliet Langman  Gender socialization and Gender Identity: The Community of Practice Model  Lecture, discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts of the community of practice (CofP) model.  Eckert, Penelope and Sally McConnell-Ginet Communities of Practice: Where Gender, Language and Power All Live. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 31)  Holmes, Janet and Miriam Meyerhoff. 1999. The Community of Practice: Theories and methodologies in language and gender   |
| 13 | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title  Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary Reading and/or writing  | Identity Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %) To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female groups. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 16)  Prof. Juliet Langman  Gender socialization and Gender Identity: The Community of Practice Model  Lecture, discussion (50 % -50 %) To understand the basic concepts of the community of practice (CofP) model.  Eckert, Penelope and Sally McConnell-Ginet Communities of Practice: Where Gender, Language and Power All Live. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 31)  Holmes, Janet and Miriam Meyerhoff. 1999. The Community of practice of the community of the community of practice.  |
|    | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title  Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary Reading and/or writing Assignments  | Identity  Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female groups. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 16)  Prof. Juliet Langman  Gender socialization and Gender Identity: The Community of Practice Model  Lecture, discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts of the community of practice (CofP) model.  Eckert, Penelope and Sally McConnell-Ginet Communities of Practice: Where Gender, Language and Power All Live. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 31)  Holmes, Janet and Miriam Meyerhoff. 1999. The Community of Practice: Theories and methodologies in language and gender research. Language in Society 28:173-183  |
| 13 | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title  Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers'   | Identity  Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female groups. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 16)  Prof. Juliet Langman  Gender socialization and Gender Identity: The Community of Practice Model  Lecture, discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts of the community of practice (CofP) model.  Eckert, Penelope and Sally McConnell-Ginet Communities of Practice: Where Gender, Language and Power All Live. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 31)  Holmes, Janet and Miriam Meyerhoff. 1999. The Community of Practice: Theories and methodologies in language and gender   |
|    | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title  Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names   | Identity  Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female groups. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 16)  Prof. Juliet Langman  Gender socialization and Gender Identity: The Community of Practice Model  Lecture, discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts of the community of practice (CofP) model.  Eckert, Penelope and Sally McConnell-Ginet Communities of Practice: Where Gender, Language and Power All Live. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 31)  Holmes, Janet and Miriam Meyerhoff. 1999. The Community of Practice: Theories and methodologies in language and gender research. Language in Society 28:173-183  |
|    | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title  Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title   | Identity  Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female groups. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 16)  Prof. Juliet Langman  Gender socialization and Gender Identity: The Community of Practice Model  Lecture, discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts of the community of practice (CofP) model.  Eckert, Penelope and Sally McConnell-Ginet Communities of Practice: Where Gender, Language and Power All Live. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 31)  Holmes, Janet and Miriam Meyerhoff. 1999. The Community of Practice: Theories and methodologies in language and gender research. Language in Society 28:173-183  |
|    | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title  Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names   | Identity  Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female groups. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 16)  Prof. Juliet Langman  Gender socialization and Gender Identity: The Community of Practice Model  Lecture, discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts of the community of practice (CofP) model.  Eckert, Penelope and Sally McConnell-Ginet Communities of Practice: Where Gender, Language and Power All Live. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 31)  Holmes, Janet and Miriam Meyerhoff. 1999. The Community of Practice: Theories and methodologies in language and gender research. Language in Society 28:173-183  Prof. Louise Vasvari  CONFERENCE INTRODUCTION  MINI-CONFERENCE  |
|    | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title  Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments                        | Identity  Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female groups. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 16)  Prof. Juliet Langman  Gender socialization and Gender Identity: The Community of Practice Model  Lecture, discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts of the community of practice (CofP) model.  Eckert, Penelope and Sally McConnell-Ginet Communities of Practice: Where Gender, Language and Power All Live. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 31)  Holmes, Janet and Miriam Meyerhoff. 1999. The Community of Practice: Theories and methodologies in language and gender research. Language in Society 28:173-183  Prof. Louise Vasvari  CONFERENCE INTRODUCTION  MINI-CONFERENCE  |
|    | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title  Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary Reading and/or writing Assignments  Topic/Title  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary Reading and/or writing Reading and/or writing | Identity  Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female groups. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 16)  Prof. Juliet Langman  Gender socialization and Gender Identity: The Community of Practice Model  Lecture, discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts of the community of practice (CofP) model.  Eckert, Penelope and Sally McConnell-Ginet Communities of Practice: Where Gender, Language and Power All Live. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 31)  Holmes, Janet and Miriam Meyerhoff. 1999. The Community of Practice: Theories and methodologies in language and gender research. Language in Society 28:173-183  Prof. Louise Vasvari  CONFERENCE INTRODUCTION  MINI-CONFERENCE  Exposing students to recent research by young scholars in the field of gender |
|    | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title  Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments                        | Identity  Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female groups. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 16)  Prof. Juliet Langman  Gender socialization and Gender Identity: The Community of Practice Model  Lecture, discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts of the community of practice (CofP) model.  Eckert, Penelope and Sally McConnell-Ginet Communities of Practice: Where Gender, Language and Power All Live. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 31)  Holmes, Janet and Miriam Meyerhoff. 1999. The Community of Practice: Theories and methodologies in language and gender research. Language in Society 28:173-183  Prof. Louise Vasvari  CONFERENCE INTRODUCTION  MINI-CONFERENCE  Exposing students to recent research by young scholars in the field of        |
| 14 | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title  Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary Reading and/or writing Assignments                         | Identity  Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female groups. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 16)  Prof. Juliet Langman  Gender socialization and Gender Identity: The Community of Practice Model  Lecture, discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts of the community of practice (CofP) model.  Eckert, Penelope and Sally McConnell-Ginet Communities of Practice: Where Gender, Language and Power All Live. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 31)  Holmes, Janet and Miriam Meyerhoff. 1999. The Community of Practice: Theories and methodologies in language and gender research. Language in Society 28:173-183  Prof. Louise Vasvari  CONFERENCE INTRODUCTION  MINI-CONFERENCE  Exposing students to recent research by young scholars in the field of gender |
|    | Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary  Reading and/or writing Assignments  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title  Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary Reading and/or writing Assignments  Topic/Title  Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names Topic/Title Teaching Mode Rationale/ Objectives/ Summary Reading and/or writing Reading and/or writing | Identity  Lecture; discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts and models for analyzing and interpreting gender socialization and gender identity. Introduction to the community of practice (CofP) model.  Coates, Jennifer. Gossig Revistied: Language in all-female groups. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 16)  Prof. Juliet Langman  Gender socialization and Gender Identity: The Community of Practice Model  Lecture, discussion (50 % -50 %)  To understand the basic concepts of the community of practice (CofP) model.  Eckert, Penelope and Sally McConnell-Ginet Communities of Practice: Where Gender, Language and Power All Live. In J. Coates (ed.), Language and Gender. A Reader, Blackwell. (Ch 31)  Holmes, Janet and Miriam Meyerhoff. 1999. The Community of Practice: Theories and methodologies in language and gender research. Language in Society 28:173-183  Prof. Louise Vasvari  CONFERENCE INTRODUCTION  MINI-CONFERENCE  Exposing students to recent research by young scholars in the field of gender |

|    | Topic/Title                           | Gender socialization and Gender Identity: The Community of Practice Model Cont.  |
|----|---------------------------------------|--|
|    | Teaching Mode                         | Think, pair, share.  |
|    | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary     | To compare the community of practice model outlined in the text with a personal example. Guiding question: Recent work in sociolinguistics takes a community of practice approach to understanding the nature of language and gender identity practices. Trace Bucholtz's and Holmes & Meyerhoff's explanation of the advantages of the community of practice approach over one that takes the speech community as the primary unit of analysis. Focus on the notion of 'research on the margins'. |
|    | Reading and/or writing<br>Assignments | Bucholtz, Mary. 1999. 'Why be normal?': Language and identity practices in a community of nerd girls. <i>Language in Society</i> 28:2;203-223.   |
|    |                                       |  |
| 16 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'<br>Names    | Prof. Juliet Langman   |
|    | Topic/Title                           | Gender socialization and Gender Identity: The Community of Practice Model cont.  |
|    | Teaching Mode                         | Guided discussion  |
|    | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary     | To extend the understanding of the community of practice model to the representation of gendered identity.   |
|    | Reading and/or writing Assignments    | Cameron, Deborah 2001. Performing Gender Identity: Young Men's Talk and the Construction of Heterosexual Masculinity: Deborah Cameron In J. Coates (ed.), <i>Language and Gender. A Reader</i> , Blackwell (Ch 18)   |
| 17 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'             | Prof. Juliet Langman   |
|    | Topic/Title                           | Analyzing the place of Community of Practice model in language and gender research   |
|    | Teaching Mode                         | Guided discussion  |
|    | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary     | To determine the relationship between specificity and generality in models and methods of language and gender research. Guiding question: How do sociolinguists analyzing identity define language and its relationship to the construction of identity?   |
|    | Reading and/or writing<br>Assignments | Bergvall, Victoria. 1999. Toward a comprehensive theory of language and gender. Language in Society 28:2; 273-293. Eckert, Penelope and Sally McConnell-Ginet. 1999. New generalizations and explanations in language and gender research. Language in Society 28:2; 185-201.  |
| 18 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'             | Prof. Juliet Langman   |
|    | Topic/Title                           | Further Considerations of the Community of Practice: Co-constructing Gender and other aspects of Identity  |
|    | Teaching Mode                         | Think, pair, share. Guiding questions: In what ways does the Community of Practice approach allow us to analysis identity as a coconstruction of various elements? Is it possible or desirable to separate gender from other aspects of identity?  |
|    | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary     | To examine ways of incorporaring an analysis of gender identity with other aspects of identity.  |

|    | Reading and/or writing Assignments    | Bucholtz, Mary. (1999). Bad examples: Transgression and progress in language and gender studies. In <i>Reinventing Identities: The Gendered Self in Discourse</i> , Mary Bucholtz, A. C. Liang & Laurel Sutton (eds.), 3-24. New York: Oxford UP.  Eckert, Penelope and Sally McConnell Ginet. Constructing Meaning, Constructing Selves: Snapshots of Language, Gender and Class from Belten High. In Hall and Bucholtz (eds.) <i>Gender Articulated: Language and the Socially Constructed Self.</i> London: Routledge, 469-508.  McElhinny, Bonnie. I Don't Smile Much Any More: Affect, Gender and the Discourse of Pittsburgh Police Officers: In J. Coates (ed.), <i>Language and Gender. A Reader</i> , Blackwell. (Ch 21) |
|----|---------------------------------------|---|
| 19 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'             |   |
| 19 | Names                                 | Prof. Juliet Langman  |
|    | Topic/Title                           | CONSULTATION  |
|    | Teaching Mode                         | TUTORIAL AND/OR INDIVIDUAL PROJECTS   |
|    | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary     | INDIVIDUALIZATION OF THE COVERED MATERIAL AS WELL AS ASSISTANCE WITH INDIVIDUAL PROJECTS AND/OR CLASS PRESENTATION PREPARATIONS   |
|    | Reading and/or writing<br>Assignments | N/A   |
| 20 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'<br>Names    | Prof. Juliet Langman  |
|    | Topic/Title                           | Gendered Ethnicity: Multiple Identities and Multilingual Settings   |
|    | Teaching Mode                         | Guided discussion. Guiding question: how is language choice affected by gender in language minority communities?  |
|    | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary     | To investigate language and gender in multilingual settings from sociolinguistic perspective- analyzing sociohistorical, and discourse aspects of gender identity and language choice.  |
|    | Reading and/or writing<br>Assignments | Bucholtz, Mary. 1995. From Mulatta to Mestiza: Passing and the Linguistic Reshaping of Ethnic Identity. In Kira Hall and Mary Buchotz (eds.) <i>Gender Articulated: Language and the Social Constructed Self</i> . NY: Routledge, pp.351-374.   |
|    |                                       |   |
| 21 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers' Names       | Prof. Juliet Langman  |
|    | Topic/Title Teaching Mode             | Gendered Ethnicity: Multiple Identities and Multilingual Settings cont.  Text analysis and Guided discussion. Guiding question: how do specific features of discourse serve as markers of gendered indentity?   |
|    | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary     | To investigate language and gender in multilingual settings from discourse aspects of gender identity and language choice.  |
|    | Reading and/or writing<br>Assignments | Menoza-Denton, Norma. 1999. Turn-Initial <i>No</i> : Collaborative opposition among Latina Adolescents. In . <i>Reinventing Identities: The Gendered Self in Discourse</i> , Mary Bucholtz, A. C. Liang & Laurel Sutton (eds.), 273-292. New York: Oxford UP.   |
| 22 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'             | Prof. Juliet Langman  |
|    | Topic/Title                           | Gendered Ethnicity: Acquiring gender identity in a second language cont.  |
|    | Teaching Mode                         | Think, pair share. Guiding question: how does one learn to use gendered talk in a second language? What aspects of gendered identity may be challenged?   |
|    | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary     | To examine gender transformations in the context of second language acquisition.  |

|    | Reading and/or writing<br>Assignments | Pavlenko, Aneta. (2001) "How am I to become a woman in an American vein?" Transformations of gender performance in second language learning. In Pavlenko, A., A. Blackledge, I. Piller, M. Teutsch-Dwyer (eds.) <i>Multilingualism, Second Languag eLearning, and Gender.Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.</i> (133-174)  Teutsch-Dwyer, Maria. (2001)(Re)constructing masculinity in a new linguistic reality. In Pavlenko, A., A. Blackledge, I. Piller, M. Teutsch-Dwyer (eds.) <i>Multilingualism, Second Languag eLearning, and Gender.Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter. (175-198).</i> |
|----|---------------------------------------|--|
| 23 | Teacher's Name/ Teachers'             | Prof. Louise Vasvari   |
|    | Topic/Title                           | MASCULINITY  |
|    | Teaching Mode                         | Lecture & Student Reports on Articles in Meinhoff & Johnson, 1997. (50 % -50 %)  |
|    | Rationale/ Objectives/<br>Summary     | Do men speak differently from women? What are the similarities and differences in heterosexual and homosexual male discourse conventions?  |
|    | Reading and/or writing<br>Assignments | Talbot, 1999. "New Men and Old Boys" pp. 190-240. Sattel, 1983. "Men, Inexpressiveness, and Power" pp. 118-124. Cameron 1997 " Performing Gender Identity" pp.47-63  Philipsen, 1990. "Speaking Like a Man" Johnson, 1997, "Theorizing Masculinity"  |